

# ORDER SPECTRUM OF THE CESÀRO OPERATOR IN BANACH LATTICE SEQUENCE SPACES

J. BONET AND W.J. RICKER

ABSTRACT. The discrete Cesàro operator  $C$  acts continuously in various classical Banach sequence spaces within  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . For the coordinatewise order, many such sequence spaces  $X$  are also complex Banach lattices (eg.  $c_0, \ell^p$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , and  $\text{ces}(p)$  for  $p \in \{0\} \cup (1, \infty)$ ). In such Banach lattice sequence spaces,  $C$  is always a positive operator. Hence, its order spectrum is well defined within the Banach algebra of all regular operators on  $X$ . The purpose of this note is to show, for every  $X$  belonging to the above list of Banach lattice sequence spaces, that the order spectrum  $\sigma_o(C)$  of  $C$  coincides with its usual spectrum  $\sigma(C)$  when  $C$  is considered as a continuous linear operator on the Banach space  $X$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $E$  be a complex Banach lattice and  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  denote the unital Banach algebra of all continuous linear operators from  $E$  into itself, equipped with the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ . The unit is the identity operator  $I : E \rightarrow E$ . Associated with each  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$  is its *spectrum*

$$\sigma(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (\lambda I - T) \text{ is not invertible in } \mathcal{L}(E)\}$$

and its resolvent set  $\rho(T) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$ . An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$  is called *regular* if it is a finite linear combination of *positive operators*. The complex vector space of all regular operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}^r(E)$ ; it is also a unital Banach algebra for the norm

$$(1.1) \quad \|T\|_r := \inf\{\|S\|_{\text{op}} : S \in \mathcal{L}(E), S \geq 0, |T(z)| \leq S(|z|) \forall z \in E\}, \quad T \in \mathcal{L}^r(E).$$

Again  $I : E \rightarrow E$  is the unit. Moreover,  $\|T\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|T\|_r$  for  $T \in \mathcal{L}^r(E)$ , with equality whenever  $T \geq 0$  (i.e., if  $T$  is a positive operator). The spectrum of  $T \in \mathcal{L}^r(E)$ , considered as an element of the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{L}^r(E)$ , is denoted by  $\sigma_o(T)$  and is called its *order spectrum*. Then  $\rho_o(T) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_o(T)$  is the *order resolvent* of  $T$ . Clearly

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_o(T), \quad T \in \mathcal{L}^r(E).$$

From the usual formula for the spectral radius, [?, Ch.I, §2, Proposition 8], it follows that the spectral radii for  $T \in \mathcal{L}^r(E)$  satisfy  $r(T) = r_o(T)$  whenever  $T \geq 0$ . Standard references for the above concepts and facts are [?], [?], [?], for example.

It is clear from (??) that  $r(T) \leq r_o(T)$  for  $T \in \mathcal{L}^r(E)$ . So, if  $r(T) < r_o(T)$ , then (??) cannot be an equality. This is the strategy applied in [?, pp.79-80] to exhibit a regular operator for which  $\sigma(T) \subsetneq \sigma_o(T)$ . For an example of a *positive operator*  $T$  satisfying  $\sigma(T) \subsetneq \sigma_o(T)$ , see [?, pp.283-284]. In the contrary direction, a rich supply of classical operators  $T$  for which the equality

$$(1.3) \quad \sigma(T) = \sigma_o(T)$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A10, 47B37, 47B65, 47L10; Secondary 46A45, 46B45, 47C05.

*Key words and phrases*. Banach algebra, Banach sequence space, Cesàro operator, spectrum, order spectrum.

is satisfied arise in harmonic analysis, [?, Theorem 3.4].

The aim of this note is to contribute two further classes of operators  $T$  which satisfy (??). In Section ?? it is shown that in any *Banach function space*  $E$ , all multiplication operators  $T$  by  $L^\infty$ -functions are regular operators and satisfy (??). This is a consequence of the fact that the algebra of such multiplication operators is maximal commutative. Let  $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . The remaining three sections deal with the classical *Cesàro operator*  $C : \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$  defined by

$$(1.4) \quad C(x) := \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \quad x = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}},$$

which is clearly a *positive operator* for the coordinatewise order in the positive cone of  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \oplus i\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Section ?? establishes some general results for determining the regularity of linear operators in *Banach lattice sequence spaces*. These results are designed to apply to the particular operators  $(C - \lambda I)^{-1}$ , where  $C$  is given in (??). In Section ?? we will consider the restriction of  $C$  to the Banach lattice sequence spaces  $c_0$  and  $\ell^p$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , and show that (??) is satisfied in all cases (with  $C$  in place of  $T$ ). Section ?? is devoted to proving the same fact, but now when  $C$  acts in the discrete Cesàro spaces  $\text{ces}(p)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and in  $\text{ces}(0)$ .

## 2. MULTIPLICATION OPERATORS

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a *localizable measure space* (in the sense of [?, 64A]), that is, the associated measure algebra is a complete Boolean algebra and, for every measurable set  $A \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  there exists  $B \in \Sigma$  such that  $B \subseteq A$  and  $0 < \mu(B) < \infty$  (i.e.,  $\mu$  has the finite subset property). All  $\sigma$ -finite measures are localizable, [?, 64H Proposition]. Every Banach function space  $E$  (of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions) over  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complex Banach lattice for the pointwise  $\mu$ -a.e. order. Given any  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mu)$ , the multiplication operator  $M_\varphi : E \rightarrow E$  defined by  $f \mapsto \varphi f$ , for  $f \in E$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  and satisfies  $\|M_\varphi\|_{\text{op}} = \|\varphi\|_\infty$ . Define a unital, commutative subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  by

$$\mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu)) := \{M_\varphi : \varphi \in L^\infty(\mu)\};$$

the unit is the identity operator  $I = M_{\mathbf{1}}$  where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the constant function 1 on  $\Omega$ . Recall that the *commutant* of  $\mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))^c := \{A \in \mathcal{L}(E) : AM_\varphi = M_\varphi A \quad \forall \varphi \in L^\infty(\mu)\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(E).$$

It is known that  $\mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))$  is a *maximal commutative*, unital subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ , that is,  $\mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu)) = \mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))^c$ , [?, Proposition 2.2]. Moreover, also the *bicommutant*  $\mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))^{cc} = \mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a localizable measure space and  $E$  be a Banach function space over  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ .*

- (i)  $\mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^r(E)$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))$  is inverse closed in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ . That is, if  $T \in \mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  (i.e., there exists  $S \in \mathcal{L}(E)$  satisfying  $ST = I = TS$ ), then necessarily  $S \in \mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))$ .
- (iii) For every  $T \in \mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))$  we have  $\sigma_o(T) = \sigma(T)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mu)$ . Then  $\varphi = [(\text{Re } \varphi)^+ - (\text{Re } \varphi)^-] + i[(\text{Im } \varphi)^+ - (\text{Im } \varphi)^-]$  with all four functions  $(\text{Re } \varphi)^+$ ,  $(\text{Re } \varphi)^-$ ,  $(\text{Im } \varphi)^+$ ,  $(\text{Im } \varphi)^-$  belonging to the positive cone  $L^\infty(\mu)^+$  of  $L^\infty(\mu)$ . Since  $M_\varphi = [M_{(\text{Re } \varphi)^+} - M_{(\text{Re } \varphi)^-}] + i[M_{(\text{Im } \varphi)^+} - M_{(\text{Im } \varphi)^-}]$  is a linear combination of positive operators, it is clear that  $M_\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^r(E)$ .

(ii) Since  $\mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))$  is maximal commutative in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))$  is inverse closed in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ , [?, Ch.II, §15, Theorem 4].

(iii) In view of (??) it suffices to show that  $\rho(T) \subseteq \rho_o(T)$ . Suppose that  $T = M_\varphi$  with  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mu)$ . Fix  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ . Then  $\lambda I - T = M_{(\lambda \mathbf{1} - \varphi)}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))$  because  $(\lambda \mathbf{1} - \varphi) \in L^\infty(\mu)$ . Since  $M_{(\lambda \mathbf{1} - \varphi)}$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ , it follows from part (ii) that actually  $(\lambda I - T)^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))$  and hence, by part (i), that also  $(\lambda I - T)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}^r(E)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** We point out that  $\|T\|_{\text{op}} = \|T\|_r$  for each  $T \in \mathcal{M}_E(L^\infty(\mu))$ . Indeed, let  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mu)$  satisfy  $T = M_\varphi$ , in which case  $\|M_\varphi\|_{\text{op}} = \|\varphi\|_\infty$ . Define  $S := \|\varphi\|_\infty I$  and note that  $S \geq 0$  with  $\|S\|_{\text{op}} = \|\varphi\|_\infty$ . Moreover,

$$|M_\varphi(f)| = |\varphi f| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty |f| = S(|f|), \quad f \in E,$$

and so  $\|T\|_r \leq \|S\|_{\text{op}} = \|\varphi\|_\infty = \|T\|_{\text{op}}$ ; see (??). The reverse inequality  $\|T\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|T\|_r$  always holds.

### 3. THE CESÀRO OPERATOR IN BANACH SEQUENCE SPACES

We begin with some preliminaries. Equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence  $\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$  is a locally convex Fréchet space. Let  $A = (a_{nm})_{n,m=1}^\infty$  be any lower triangular (infinite) matrix, i.e.,  $a_{nm} = 0$  whenever  $m > n$ . Then  $A$  induces the continuous linear operator  $T_A : \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$  defined by

$$(3.1) \quad T_A(x) := (\sum_{m=1}^\infty a_{nm} x_m)_{n=1}^\infty, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}.$$

For  $x \in \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$  define  $|x| := (|x_n|)_{n=1}^\infty$ . Then also  $|x| \in \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$ . A vector subspace  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$  is called *solid* (or an *ideal*) if  $y \in X$  whenever  $x \in X$  and  $y \in \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $|y| \leq |x|$ . It is always assumed that  $X$  contains the vector space consisting of all elements of  $\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$  which have only finitely many non-zero coordinates. In addition, it is assumed that  $X$  has a norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$  with respect to which it is a complex *Banach lattice* for the *coordinatewise order* and such that the natural inclusion  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$  is continuous. Under the previous requirements  $X$  is called a *Banach lattice sequence space*.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A = (a_{nm})_{n,m=1}^\infty$  be a lower triangular matrix with all entries non-negative real numbers and  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$  be a Banach lattice sequence space such that  $T_A(X) \subseteq X$ . Let  $B = (b_{nm})_{n,m=1}^\infty$  be any matrix such that*

$$(3.2) \quad |b_{nm}| \leq a_{nm}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Then the restricted operator  $T_A : X \rightarrow X$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . Moreover,  $T_B : \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$  satisfies  $T_B(X) \subseteq X$  and the restricted operator  $T_B : X \rightarrow X$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . In addition,  $\|T_B\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|T_A\|_{\text{op}}$ .*

*Proof.* Condition (??) implies that  $B$  is also a lower triangular matrix. Moreover, the continuity of both  $T_A : \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$  and of the inclusion map  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$  imply, via the Closed Graph Theorem in the Banach space  $X$ , that the restricted operator  $T_A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ .

Given  $x \in X$  we have for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , via (??), that

$$(T_B(x))_n = |\sum_{m=1}^\infty b_{nm} x_m| \leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty |b_{nm}| \cdot |x_m| \leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty a_{nm} |x_m| = (T_A(|x|))_n.$$

Since  $X$  is solid and  $T_A(|x|) \in X$ , these inequalities and (??) imply that  $T_B(x) \in X$ . Moreover, as  $\|\cdot\|_X$  is a lattice norm it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_B(x)\|_X &= \|(\sum_{m=1}^\infty b_{nm} x_m)_{n=1}^\infty\|_X \leq \|(\sum_{m=1}^\infty a_{nm} |x_m|)_{n=1}^\infty\|_X \\ &= \|T_A(|x|)\|_X \leq \|T_A\|_{\text{op}} \|x\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $x \in X$ , where the stated series are actually finite sums. Hence,  $\|T_B\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|T_A\|_{\text{op}}$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Since the operator  $T_A$  as given in Lemma ?? satisfies  $T_A \geq 0$ , it is clearly regular.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $A = (a_{nm})_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$  be a lower triangular matrix with non-negative real entries and  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a Banach lattice sequence space such that  $T_A(X) \subseteq X$ . Let  $B = (b_{nm})_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$  be any matrix satisfying (??). Then the operator  $T_B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is necessarily regular, that is,  $T_B \in \mathcal{L}^r(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Define the non-negative real numbers  $s_{nm} := (\text{Re } b_{nm})^+$ ,  $u_{nm} := (\text{Re } b_{nm})^-$ ,  $v_{nm} := (\text{Im } b_{nm})^+$  and  $w_{nm} := (\text{Im } b_{nm})^-$  for each  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $b_{nm} = (s_{nm} - u_{nm}) + i(v_{nm} - w_{nm})$  and  $\{s_{nm}, u_{nm}, v_{nm}, w_{nm}\} \subseteq [0, a_{nm}]$  for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Setting  $S := (s_{nm})_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $U := (u_{nm})_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $V := (v_{nm})_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$  and  $W := (w_{nm})_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$  it is clear from the definition (??) that each operator  $T_S \geq 0$ ,  $T_U \geq 0$ ,  $T_V \geq 0$  and  $T_W \geq 0$  (in  $X$ ) belongs to  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ ; see Lemma ?. Since  $T_B = (T_S - T_U) + i(T_V - T_W)$ , it follows that  $T_B \in \mathcal{L}^r(X)$ .  $\square$

Together with appropriate estimates, Corollary ?? will be the main ingredient required to establish (??) for  $C$  (in place of  $T$ ) when it acts in various classical Banach lattice sequence spaces  $X$ .

Let  $\Sigma_0 := \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We recall the formula for the inverses  $(C - \lambda I)^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$  whenever  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_0$ , [?, p.266]. Namely, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the  $n$ -th row of the lower triangular matrix determining  $(C - \lambda I)^{-1}$  has the entries

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{-1}{n\lambda^2 \prod_{k=m}^n (1 - \frac{1}{k\lambda})}, \quad 1 \leq m < n, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n}{1-n\lambda} = \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{n} - \lambda)}, \quad m = n,$$

with all other entries in row  $n$  being 0. We write

$$(3.4) \quad (C - \lambda I)^{-1} = T_{D_\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} T_{E_\lambda},$$

where the diagonal matrix  $D_\lambda = (d_{nm}(\lambda))_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$  is given by

$$(3.5) \quad d_{nn}(\lambda) := \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{n} - \lambda)} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{nm}(\lambda) := 0 \quad \text{if } n \neq m.$$

Setting  $\gamma[\lambda] := \text{dist}(\lambda, \Sigma_0) > 0$  it is routine to check that

$$(3.6) \quad |d_{nn}(\lambda)| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma[\lambda]}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_0.$$

Moreover,  $E_\lambda = (e_{nm}(\lambda))_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$  is the lower triangular matrix given by  $e_{1m}(\lambda) = 0$ , for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for all  $n \geq 2$  by

$$(3.7) \quad e_{nm}(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n \prod_{k=m}^n (1 - \frac{1}{k\lambda})} & \text{if } 1 \leq m < n \\ 0 & \text{if } m \geq n. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be any Banach lattice sequence space. For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_0$  the diagonal operator  $T_{D_\lambda}$ , with  $D_\lambda = (d_{nm}(\lambda))_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$  given by (??), is regular in  $X$ , that is,  $T_{D_\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}^r(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\lambda \notin \Sigma_0$  and let  $A := \frac{1}{\gamma[\lambda]} I$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix in  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , in which case  $T_A(X) \subseteq X$  is clear. It follows from (??) that the matrix  $B := D_\lambda$  satisfies (??). Hence, the regularity of  $T_{D_\lambda}$  in  $X$  follows from Corollary ?.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** (i) Since any Banach lattice sequence space  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a Banach function space over the  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu)$ , relative to counting measure  $\mu$ , and the function  $n \mapsto d_{nn}(\lambda)$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  belongs to  $L^\infty(\mu)$  by (??), the regularity of  $T_{D_\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  also follows from Proposition ??(i).

(ii) For appropriate  $X$  and  $\lambda \notin \Sigma_0$ , it is clear from (??) and Lemma ?? that the regularity of  $(C - \lambda I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is completely determined by the matrix  $E_\lambda$ .

The following inequalities will be needed in the sequel. For  $\alpha < 1$  we refer to [?, Lemma 7] and for general  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  to [?, Lemma 3.2(i)].

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_0$  and set  $\alpha := \operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{\lambda})$ . Then there exist positive constants  $P(\alpha)$  and  $Q(\alpha)$  such that*

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{P(\alpha)}{n^\alpha} \leq \prod_{k=1}^n |1 - \frac{1}{k\lambda}| \leq \frac{Q(\alpha)}{n^\alpha}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

#### 4. THE CLASSICAL SPACES $\ell^p$ , $1 < p \leq \infty$ , AND $c_0$

For each  $1 < p \leq \infty$  let  $C_p \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p)$  denote the Cesàro operator as given by (??) when it is restricted to  $\ell^p$ . As a consequence of Hardy's inequality, [?, Theorem 326], it is known that  $\|C_p\|_{\text{op}} = p'$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$  (with  $p' := 1$  when  $p = \infty$ ). Concerning the spectrum of  $C_p$  we have

$$(4.1) \quad \sigma(C_p) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{p'}{2}| \leq \frac{p'}{2}\}, \quad 1 < p \leq \infty.$$

Various proofs of (??) are known for  $1 < p < \infty$ , [?], [?], [?], [?], [?]; see the discussion on p.268 of [?]. For the case  $p = \infty$  we refer to [?, Theorem 4], for example.

**Remark 4.1.** For each  $\lambda \neq 0$  set  $\alpha := \operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{\lambda})$ . Then, for any  $b > 0$  we have

$$\alpha < \frac{1}{b} \text{ and only if } |\lambda - \frac{b}{2}| > \frac{b}{2}.$$

The corresponding results for  $\alpha > \frac{1}{b}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{b}$  also hold.

**Proposition 4.2.** *For each  $1 < p < \infty$  the order spectrum of the positive operator  $C_p \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p)$  satisfies*

$$(4.2) \quad \sigma_o(C_p) = \sigma(C_p).$$

*Proof.* Via (??) it suffices to verify that  $\rho(C_p) \subseteq \rho_o(C_p)$ .

With the notation of (??) and (??) it is shown on p.269 of [?], as a consequence of (??) in Lemma ?? above, that for every  $\lambda \neq 0$  satisfying  $\alpha := \operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{\lambda}) < 1$  there exists a constant  $\beta(\lambda) > 0$  such that

$$(4.3) \quad |e_{nm}(\lambda)| \leq \frac{\beta(\lambda)}{n^{1-\alpha} m^\alpha}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Set  $B := E_\lambda$  and let  $A$  be the lower triangular matrix whose entries  $a_{nm}(\lambda) \geq 0$  are given by the right-side of (??) for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 \leq m \leq n$  (and 0 otherwise). According to (??) the matrices  $A$  and  $B$  satisfy (??). Let  $X := \ell^p$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  fixed. Then Corollary ?? implies that  $E_\lambda$  will be regular (i.e.,  $T_{E_\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}^r(\ell^p)$ ) whenever  $T_A(\ell^p) \subseteq \ell^p$ . Note that  $T_A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}})$  is given by

$$(4.4) \quad x \mapsto \beta(\lambda) \left( \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{x_m}{m^\alpha} \right)_{n=1}^\infty := \beta(\lambda) G_\lambda(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

So, if  $\operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{\lambda}) < 1$ , then (??) implies that  $T_A \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p)$  whenever  $G_\lambda : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$  is continuous.

Let now  $\lambda \in \rho(C_p)$ , that is,  $|\lambda - \frac{p'}{2}| > \frac{p'}{2}$ . Then  $\alpha := \operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{\lambda}) < \frac{1}{p'}$ , because of Remark ??, and hence,  $(1 - \alpha)p > 1$ . Then the Proposition on p.269 of [?] yields that indeed  $G_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p)$ . As noted above, this implies that  $T_{E_\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}^r(\ell^p)$ . Combined with (??) and Lemma ?? it follows that  $(C_p - \lambda I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}^r(\ell^p)$ , that is,  $\lambda \in \rho_o(C_p)$ . This completes the proof of (??).  $\square$

Recall that  $\|C_\infty\|_{\text{op}} = 1$  and, from (??) for  $p = \infty$ , that

$$(4.5) \quad \sigma(C_\infty) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$$

**Proposition 4.3.** *The order spectrum of the positive operator  $C_\infty \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^\infty)$  satisfies*

$$\sigma_o(C_\infty) = \sigma(C_\infty).$$

*Proof.* Again by (??) it suffices to prove that  $\rho(C_\infty) \subseteq \rho_o(C_\infty)$ .

Fix  $\lambda \in \rho(C_\infty)$ . According to (??), for  $b = 1$  the condition in Remark ?? is satisfied with  $\alpha := \text{Re}(\frac{1}{\lambda})$ . Hence, the inequalities (??) are valid and so  $A := (a_{nm}(\lambda))_{n,m=1}^\infty \geq 0$  and  $B := E_\lambda$  can again be defined exactly as in the proof of Proposition ?. Then (??) is satisfied with  $X := \ell^\infty$ . Arguing as in the proof of Proposition ?? (via Corollary ??) it remains to verify that  $T_A : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$  is continuous, where  $T_A$  is given by (??). To this effect, since  $(1 - \alpha) > 0$  by Remark ??, it follows that

$$(4.6) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=1}^\infty |a_{nm}(\lambda)| = \beta(\lambda) \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m^\alpha} < \infty;$$

this has been verified on p.778 of [?] (put  $w(n) = 1$  there for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) by considering each of the cases  $\alpha < 0$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$  separately. But, condition (??) is known to imply that  $T_A \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^\infty)$ , [?, Ex.2, p.220]. The proof that  $\lambda \in \rho_o(C_\infty)$  is thereby complete.  $\square$

To conclude this section we consider the Cesàro operator  $C$ , as given by (??), when it is restricted to  $c_0$ ; denote this operator by  $C_0$ . It is shown in [?, Theorem 3], [?], that  $\|C_0\|_{\text{op}} = 1$  and

$$(4.7) \quad \sigma(C_0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

**Proposition 4.4.** *The order spectrum of the positive operator  $C_0 \in \mathcal{L}(c_0)$  satisfies*

$$\sigma_o(C_0) = \sigma(C_0).$$

*Proof.* Since (??) shows that  $\sigma(C_0) = \sigma(C_\infty)$ , the entire proof of Proposition ?? can be easily adapted (now for  $X := c_0$  and fixed  $\lambda \in \rho(C_0)$ ), using the same notation, *up to the stage* where (??) is shown to be valid. In *addition* to the validity of (??) it is also true that

$$(4.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nm}(\lambda) = \frac{\beta(\lambda)}{m^\alpha} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}} = 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

because  $\alpha := \text{Re}(\frac{1}{\lambda})$  satisfies  $(1 - \alpha) > 0$ . The two conditions (??) and (??) together are known to imply that  $T_A \in \mathcal{L}(c_0)$ , [?, Theorem 4.51-C]. Again via Corollary ?? and Lemma ?? we can conclude that  $T_{E_\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}^r(c_0)$  and hence, also  $(C_0 - \lambda I)^{-1}$  is regular on  $c_0$ .  $\square$

## 5. THE DISCRETE CESÀRO SPACES $\text{ces}(p)$ , $1 < p < \infty$ , AND $\text{ces}(0)$

For  $1 < p < \infty$  the discrete Cesàro spaces are defined by

$$\text{ces}(p) := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \|x\|_{\text{ces}(p)} := (\sum_{n=1}^\infty (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|)^p)^{1/p} < \infty\}.$$

In view of (??) we see that  $\|x\|_{\text{ces}(p)} = \|C(|x|)\|_{\ell^p}$  for  $x \in \text{ces}(p)$ . It is known that each space  $\text{ces}(p)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , is a reflexive Banach lattice sequence space for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{ces}(p)}$  and the coordinatewise order. The spaces  $\text{ces}(p)$  have been thoroughly treated in [?]. According to Theorem ?? of [?] the restriction of  $C$  (see (??)) to  $\text{ces}(p)$ , denoted here by  $C_{(p)}$ , is continuous with  $\|C_{(p)}\|_{\text{op}} = p'$  and

$$(5.1) \quad \sigma(C_{(p)}) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{p'}{2} \right| \leq \frac{p'}{2} \right\}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *For each  $1 < p < \infty$  the order spectrum of the positive operator  $C_{(p)} \in \mathcal{L}(\text{ces}(p))$  satisfies*

$$(5.2) \quad \sigma_o(C_{(p)}) = \sigma(C_{(p)}).$$

*Proof.* In view of (??) it suffices to verify that  $\rho(C_{(p)}) \subseteq \rho_o(C_{(p)})$ .

We decompose the set  $\rho(C_{(p)})$  into two disjoint parts, namely the set

$$(5.3) \quad \rho_1 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \text{Re}(\frac{1}{\lambda}) \leq 0\} = \{u \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \text{Re}(u) \leq 0\}$$

and its complement  $\rho_2 := \rho(C_{(p)}) \setminus \rho_1$ .

First fix  $\lambda \in \rho_1$ . Then  $\lambda \notin \Sigma_0$  and so we may consider  $E_\lambda = (e_{nm}(\lambda))_{n,m=1}^\infty$  and  $D_\lambda = (d_{nm}(\lambda))_{n,m=1}^\infty$  as specified by (??) and (??), respectively. It is shown on p.72 of [?] that

$$(5.4) \quad |e_{nm}(\lambda)| \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad 1 \leq m < n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Warning:* In [?] the set  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is used rather than  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  which is used here and so the inequalities from [?] are slightly different when they are stated here. Back to our proof, it is clear from (??) that the matrix  $A = (c_{nm})_{n,m=1}^\infty$  for the Cesàro operator  $C$  is lower triangular with its  $n$ -th row, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , given by  $c_{nm} := \frac{1}{n}$  for  $1 \leq m \leq n$  and  $c_{nm} := 0$  for  $m > n$ . Setting  $B := E_\lambda$  it is clear from (??) that (??) is satisfied for the pair  $A, B$  in the space  $X := \text{ces}(p)$ . Since  $C_{(p)} = T_A : \text{ces}(p) \rightarrow \text{ces}(p)$  is continuous, it follows from Corollary ?? that  $T_{E_\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}^r(\text{ces}(p))$  and hence, via Lemma ?? and (??), that also  $(C_{(p)} - \lambda I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}^r(\text{ces}(p))$ .

Consider now the set  $\rho_2$ . From (??) it is routine to establish that a non-zero point  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  belongs to  $\sigma(C_{(p)})$  if and only if  $\text{Re}(\frac{1}{z}) \geq \frac{1}{p'}$ . From the case of equality in Remark ??, it follows that  $\rho_2 = \bigcup_{0 < \alpha < 1/p'} \Gamma_\alpha$ , where

$$(5.5) \quad \Gamma_\alpha := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \text{Re}(\frac{1}{z}) = \alpha\} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |z - \frac{1}{2\alpha}| = \frac{1}{2\alpha}\}.$$

Fix a point  $\lambda \in \rho_2$ . Then there exists a unique number  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{p'})$  such that  $\lambda \in \Gamma_\alpha$ , namely  $\alpha := \text{Re}(\frac{1}{\lambda})$ . In the notation of (??) it is shown on p.72 of [?] that

$$(5.6) \quad |e_{nm}(\lambda)| \leq e_{nm}(\frac{1}{\alpha}), \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that  $e_{nm}(\frac{1}{\alpha}) \geq 0$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  follows from (??) as  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{p'}$  implies that  $1 - \frac{1}{k(1/\alpha)} = (1 - \frac{\alpha}{k}) > 0$  for  $m \leq k \leq n$ . Setting  $\tilde{A} := E_{1/\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{B} := E_\lambda$  it is clear from (??) that (??) is satisfied for the pair  $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}$  in place of  $A, B$ . Moreover,  $\frac{1}{\alpha} > p'$  implies that  $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in \rho(C_{(p)})$ , that is,  $(C_{(p)} - \frac{1}{\alpha}I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\text{ces}(p))$ . Since  $T_{D_{1/\alpha}} \in \mathcal{L}(\text{ces}(p))$  by Lemma ?? (with  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  in place of  $\lambda$ ), the identity  $T_{E_{1/\alpha}} = \alpha^2(T_{D_{1/\alpha}} - (C_{(p)} - \frac{1}{\alpha}I)^{-1})$  shows that  $T_{\tilde{A}} \in \mathcal{L}(\text{ces}(p))$ . Hence, Corollary ?? can be applied to conclude that  $T_{\tilde{B}} = T_{E_\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}^r(\text{ces}(p))$ . It then follows from (??) and Lemma 3.3 that  $(C_{(p)} - \lambda I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}^r(\text{ces}(p))$ .  $\square$

The remaining space to consider is  $\text{ces}(0) := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : C(|x|) \in c_0\}$  equipped with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\text{ces}(0)} := \|C(|x|)\|_{c_0} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|, \quad x \in \text{ces}(0).$$

It is a Banach lattice sequence space for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{ces}(0)}$  and the coordinatewise order. According to [?, Theorem 6.4], the restriction of  $C$  (see ((?)) to  $\text{ces}(0)$ , denoted here by  $C_{(0)}$ , is continuous with  $\|C_{(0)}\|_{\text{op}} = 1$  and

$$(5.7) \quad \sigma(C_{(0)}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

**Proposition 5.2.** *The order spectrum of the positive operator  $C_{(0)} \in \mathcal{L}(\text{ces}(0))$  satisfies*

$$\sigma_o(C_{(0)}) = \sigma(C_{(0)}).$$

*Proof.* As usual it suffices to show that  $\rho(C_{(0)}) \subseteq \rho_o(C_{(0)})$ .

Let the set  $\rho_1$  be as in (?). For each  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  let  $\Gamma_\alpha$  be given by (?). Then (?) ensures that we have the disjoint partition  $\rho(C_{(0)}) = \rho_1 \cup \rho_2$  with  $\rho_2 := \bigcup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \Gamma_\alpha$ .

For any given point  $\lambda \in \rho_1$  the estimates (?) are again valid (see [?, p.72]) and so the argument in the proof of Proposition ?? can be easily adapted ( now for  $X := \text{ces}(0)$ ) to again show that  $(C_{(0)} - \lambda I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}^r(\text{ces}(0))$ .

Fix now  $\lambda \in \rho_2$ . Then there exists a unique  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\lambda \in \Gamma_\alpha$ , namely  $\alpha := \text{Re}(\frac{1}{\lambda})$ . Then  $\text{Re}(1 - \frac{1}{k\lambda}) = (1 - \frac{\alpha}{k}) \geq 0$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Arguing as at the bottom of p.396 in [?], now with  $x \in \text{ces}(0)$  in place of  $a \in \text{ces}(2)$  there, it follows that the 1-st coordinate of  $E_\lambda(x)$  is 0 and, for  $n \geq 2$ , that the  $n$ -th coordinate of  $E_\lambda(x)$  satisfies

$$|(E_\lambda(x))_n| \leq (E_{1/\alpha}(|x|))_n, \quad x \in \text{ces}(0).$$

Substituting  $x := (\delta_{rj})_{j=1}^\infty$  into the previous estimates, for each  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , yields (?). Since  $0 < \alpha < 1$  implies that  $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in \rho(C_{(0)})$ , the argument can be completed along the lines given in the proof of Proposition ?? to conclude that  $(C_{(0)} - \lambda I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}^r(\text{ces}(0))$ . We again warn the reader that  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is used in [?].  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The research of the first author (J. Bonet) was partially supported by the projects MTM2016-76647-P and GV Prometeo 2017/102 (Spain).

## REFERENCES

1. A.A. Albanese, J. Bonet, W.J. Ricker, Spectrum and compactness of the Cesàro operator on weighted  $\ell_p$  spaces, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **99** (2015), 287–314.
2. A.A. Albanese, J. Bonet, W.J. Ricker, Mean ergodicity and spectrum of the Cesàro operator on weighted  $c_0$  spaces, *Positivity*, **20** (2016), 761–803.
3. W. Arendt, On the  $\sigma$ -spectrum of regular operators and the spectrum of measures, *Math. Z.*, **178** (1981), 271–287.
4. G. Bennett, Factorizing the classical inequalities, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (Nr. 576) (1996), 1–130.
5. F. F. Bonsall, J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer, Heidelberg-New York, 1973.
6. G.P. Curbera, W.J. Ricker, Spectrum of the Cesàro operator in  $\ell^p$ , *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **100** (2013), 267–271.
7. G.P. Curbera, W.J. Ricker, Solid extensions of the Cesàro operator on the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **407** (2013), 387–397.
8. G.P. Curbera, W.J. Ricker, Solid extensions of the Cesàro operator on  $\ell^p$  and  $c_0$ , *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory*, **80** (2014), 61–77.
9. B. de Pagter, W.J. Ricker, Algebras of multiplication operators in Banach function spaces, *J. Oper. Theory*, **42** (1999), 245–267.
10. D.H. Fremlin, *Topological Riesz Spaces and Measure Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.



11. G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1964.
12. G. Leibowitz, Spectra of discrete Cesàro operators, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **3** (1972), 123–132.
13. G. Leibowitz, Discrete Hausdorff transformations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **38** (1973), 541–544.
14. J.B. Reade, On the spectrum of the Cesàro operator, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **17** (1985), 263–267.
15. B.E. Rhoades, Spectra of some Hausdorff matrices, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **32** (1971), 91–100.
16. B.E. Rhoades, Generalized Hausdorff matrices bounded on  $\ell^p$  and  $c$ , *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **43** (1981), 333–345.
17. H.H. Schaefer, *Banach Lattices and Positive Operators*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.
18. H.H. Schaefer, On the o-spectrum of order bounded operators, *Math. Z.*, **154** (1977), 79–84.
19. A.E. Taylor, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1958.

J. BONET, INSTITUTO UNIVERSITARIO DE MATEMÁTICA PURA Y APLICADA IUMPA, UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE VALÈNCIA, 46071 VALENCIA, SPAIN  
EMAIL: JBONET@MAT.UPV.ES

W.J. RICKER: MATH.-GEOGR. FAKULTÄT, KATH. UNIVERSITÄT EICHSTÄTT-INGOLSTADT, 85072 EICHSTÄTT, GERMANY  
EMAIL: WERNER.RICKER@KU.DE